GALACTIC COSMIC RAYS: PROGRESS and PROBLEMS

V. Berezinsky

INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, Italy

- Galactic Cosmic Ray Standard Model (GCR SM)
- PAMELA and Fermi LAT anomalies
- Transition from Galactic to extragalactic CR
- Extensions of GCR SM



STANDARD MODEL for GALACTIC CRs

- sources: SN remnants.
- acceleration: diffusive shock acceleration.
- propagation: diffusion.

Ginzburg 1951, Ginzburg and Syrovatskii 1960.

DIFFUSIVE SHOCK ACCELERATION

$$\frac{\partial f(\vec{x},t)}{\partial t} = \nabla (D\nabla f) - \vec{v}\nabla f + \frac{1}{3}(\vec{\Delta}\vec{v})p\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\left[p^2 D_{pp}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\right] + Q_{\rm inj}(\vec{x},\vec{p},t).$$



energy gain at each crossing $\Delta E/E \sim v_{\rm sh}/c$, spectrum $N(E)dE \sim E^{-2}dE$. $E_{\rm max}$ from: $t_{\rm acc} \leq t_{\rm diff}$, where $t_{\rm acc} \sim D/v_{\rm sh}^2$ and $t_{\rm diff} \sim R_{\rm sh}^2/D$. For Bohm diffusion $D_B \sim r_L c$: $\mathbf{E}_{\rm max} \sim (\mathbf{v_{sh}/c})\mathbf{R_{sh}}\mathbf{B}$, $E_{\rm max}$ is too low. With SNR parametrs $v_{\rm sh} \sim 5 \times 10^8$ cm/s, $R_{\rm sh} \sim 10^{19}$ cm and $B \sim 3 \,\mu\text{G}$:

$\mathbf{E_{max}} \sim \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{10^{14}} \ \mathbf{Z} \ (\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{3}\mu\mathbf{G}) \ \mathbf{eV}$

(first by Cesarsky and Laggage 1981).

DIFFUSIVE SHOCK ACCELERATION: PROGRESS

• **E**_{max} :

Acceleration to the highest energies occurs at the beginning of Sedov phase. Non-linear amplification of turbulent magnetic field in the shock precursor due to streaming instability of CR produces magnetic field with strength $\delta B \sim B \sim 10^{-4}$ G (Bell and Lucek).

$$E_{\rm max} = 4 \times 10^{15} Z \frac{B}{10^{-4} G} \left(\frac{W_{51}}{n_g/cm^3} \right)^{2/5} \ eV$$

$$\mathbf{E_p^{max}} = \mathbf{4} \times \mathbf{10^{15}B_{-4}} \ \mathbf{eV}, \qquad \mathbf{E_{Fe}^{max}} = \mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{10^{17}B_{-4}} \ \mathbf{eV}$$

• spectrum:

At fixed SNR age the spectrum of escaped particles is close to δ -function. but time-averaged spectrum is $\propto E^{-2}$ or flatter at highest energies (Ptuskin, Zirakashvili 2006).

PROPAGATION IN THE GALAXY

Diffusive propagation equation for a single source:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial f(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(D \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (uf) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(p^3 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} f \right) - \frac{f}{\tau_A} - \\ &- \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[b(p) \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \right] + \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[p^2 D_{pp} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \right] + Q(\Gamma) \, \delta^3(x - x_g), \\ &\text{where } Q(\Gamma) = \frac{(\gamma_g - 2)L_0}{Am_N} \Gamma^{-\gamma_g}, \\ b(p) = dp/dt, \ \Gamma \text{ is Lorentz factor.} \end{split}$$

SM: GALACTIC SPECTRA AND KNEES

Berezhko and Völk 2007



PAMELA AND FLATNESS OF HELIUM SPECTRUM



MASS COMPOSITION VS ENERGY

Compilation of Hörandel 2005



SM agrees with **EAS-TOP** and **KASCADE**

SPECTRA: QUALITATIVE ESTIMATES

 ${f D}({f E}) \propto {f E}^{\mu}$

with $\mu = 1/3$ (Kolmogorov), $\mu = 1/2$ (Kraichnan), $\mu = 0$ (shock waves). **Protons and primary nuclei (p)** generation: $Q_p(E) \propto E^{-\gamma_g}$, $\tau_{\rm esc} \propto D^{-1}(E) \propto E^{-\mu}$ $\mathbf{n_p}(\mathbf{E}) \sim \mathbf{Q_p}(\mathbf{E}) \tau_{\rm esc}(\mathbf{E}) \propto \mathbf{E}^{-(\gamma_g + \mu)}$ observed: $\gamma_g + \mu = 2.7$, acceleration: $\gamma_g = 2$, hence $\mu = 0.7$.

> High energy positrons $(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p} \to \pi^+ \to \mathbf{e}^+)$ generation: $Q_{e^+}(E) \sim n_p(E) \sigma n_{\text{gas}} c \propto E^{-(\gamma_g + \mu)}$ $n_{e^+}(E) \sim Q_{e^+}(E) \tau_{\text{loss}} \propto E^{-(\gamma_g + \mu + 1)}$

High energy primary electrons $Q_e(E) \propto E^{-\gamma_g}, \ n_e(E) \sim Q_e(E) \ \tau_{\text{loss}}(E) \propto E^{-(\gamma_g+1)}.$

> ${
> m e}^+/{
> m e}^-$ ratio $n_{e^+}/n_{e^-} \propto E^{-\mu}$ in contrast to PAMELA.

ANISOTROPY

$$\delta(E) \sim \frac{J(E)}{n(E)c} \sim \frac{\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E})}{c} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial r} \propto E^{\mu}, \ \mu = 0.7.$$

At high energy the predicted anisotropy is too high.

Observavations:

 $10^{11} - 10^{13} \text{ eV}$, amplitude ~ 3×10^{-4} , phase ~ (0 - 4) hr **EAS-TOP**, ApJ, 470, 501, 1996 and ApJ, 692, L130, 2009 : $1 \times 10^{14} \text{ eV}$, amplitude = $(2.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-4}$, phase = $(0.4 \pm 1.2) \text{ hr}$ $4 \times 10^{14} \text{ eV}$, amplitude = $(6.4 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-4}$, phase = $(13.6 \pm 1.5) \text{ hr}$

INCOMPLETENESS and PROBLEMS of GCR SM Acceleration and sources

- Injection for shock acceleration.
- Alternative acceleration/sources (subdominant).
- Inhomogeneous distribution of sources.

Propagation

- Magnetic field is not reliably known.
- Breaking of diffusive regime at highest energies.
- Reacceleration uncertainties.

Problems of GCR Standard Model

- Flatness of He spectrum (but PAMELA !)
- Large μ ($\mu = 0.7$).
- Anisotropy $\delta(E) \propto D(E) \propto E^{\mu}$ is too large at high energy.
- No observed pp-produced gamma and neutrino radiations from SNR.

PAMELA and FERMI LAT



Possible explanations

- Parameter uncertainties in SM: Delahaye et al. (Torino-Annecy) 0809.5268
- e^+e^- from pulsars: Büshing et al., Ap.J. L678, 39, 2008.
- DM annihilation: Cirolli et al., 0809.2409.

CONSERVATIVE (GCR SM) EXPLANATIONS OF PAMELA and FERMI ANOMALIES

Positron excess in GCR SM

P. Blasi, PRL 103, 051104, 2009

Production of positrons due to $pp \to \pi^+ \to e^+$ in the region of acceleration.

$$Q_{e^+}(E) = \int dE' n_p(E', x) \frac{d\sigma(E', E)}{dE'} n_g(x)c$$

Acceleration of injected positrons $(f_{e^+}(x) \equiv f(x))$.

$$D\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - v\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{dv}{dx}p\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} + Q_{e^+}(p,x) = 0.$$
$$D(E) = D_0 E^{\mu}, \ \mu = 0.6, \ E_{\max} = 100 \text{ TeV}$$

• $\mu = 0.6$ is a problem.

• $E_{\rm max} = 100 \text{ TeV}$ is too high for old SNRs

P. Blasi, PRL 103, 051104, 2009 Results



PAMELA: $e^+/(e^+ + e^-)$ ratio

Fermi LAT: $(e^+ + e^-)$ flux.

Similar effects with ratio increase

secondary/primary nuclei : Mertsch, Sarkar 2009, Ti/Fe observed in ATIC-2. \bar{p}/p -ratio: Blasi, Serpico 2009, predicted.

TRANSITION FROM GALACTIC TO EXTRAGALACTIC CR

In the dip model transition occurs at $E_{tr} < E_b = 1 \times 10^{18}$ eV, i.e. at second knee. This transition agrees perfectly with the standard galactic model.

In the ankle model transition occurs at $E_a = 4 \times 10^{18}$ eV and the galactic flux at this energy is half of the total in contradiction with standard galactic model.



EXTENSIONS OF GCR SM

PARTICLES WITH $E > 10^{18}$ eV FROM SNRs

Ptuskin, Zirakashvili, Seo 2010



Progenitor of SNII is red supergiant. Evolution: core contraction and stellar wind. SN explosion into space with stellar wind. SN shock with E_{max} at Sedov stage. Sedov phase starts in inner shell. 4 groups of SNRs: Ia, Ibc, IIP and IIb.

ENERGY SPECTRA and MASS COMPOSITION



Source spectra for protons

Mass composition of diffuse flux

UHECR from GRBs in Milky Way Calvez, Kusenko, Nagataki PRL 105.091101, 2010



- GRBs are distributed in MW with random space location and with rate $\nu_{\rm GRB} \sim 10^{-5} \ {\rm yr}^{-1}$.
- CR production $\propto E^{-2.3}$ with 90% protons and 10% Fe.
- Particles propagate diffusively.

(also Dermer and Holmes 2005)

CONCLUSIONS

- GCR SM, based on the diffuse shock acceleration in SNRs and diffusive propagation in the Galaxy, describes well the basic observations: knees, spectra, secondary/primary ratio etc. However, the SM is incomplete and meets some problems.
- The problems include: (i) flatness of He spectrum (however, PAMELA !),
 (ii) large µ = 0.7, (iii) too large anisotropy predicted at high energy, and
 (iv) non-observation of hadronic gamma-rays and neutrinos from SNRs.
- Recently, GCR SM has been questioned by PAMELA and Fermi anomalies. Now it is understood that they can be solved within framework of SM, and tested by measuring \bar{p}/p ratio and secondary/primary nuclei ratio in future experiments at $E \gtrsim 1$ TeV. The other solutions, e.g. pulsars or DM, can provide subdominant effects.
- The transition from galactic to extragalactic CRs in the dip model fits well the GCR SM. The ankle model needs the extended GCR SM